The Impact of Personality and Individual Differences on Health Behaviours

by Louis Molnar

This paper will detail various personality traits and other factors that affect health behaviours as well as approaches to interventions and methods of behaviour improvement.

Overview of the relationship between personality and health behaviours

A focal point in understanding and promoting well-being is the intricate interplay between personality and health behaviours. Rooted in psychological theories such as the Big Five Model, the Health Belief Model, and Social Cognitive Theory, research delves into how personality traits shape an individual's approach to health maintenance. Various personality traits are linked to heightened or lowered stress responses (Childs et al, 2014) and subsequent health behaviour choices, including the correlation between increased social engagement and physical activity (Small et al., 2012). Viewpoints may influence one's receptiveness to novel health interventions and adherence to medical advice.

Beyond personality traits, individual differences such as demographics, cognitive factors, and motivational aspects contribute significantly to the mosaic of health behaviours (Rollo et al, 2016). Age, gender, cultural background, health literacy, external factors, accessibility and availability to healthcare, and motivational styles all play integral roles in shaping the choices individuals make regarding their health (Baciu et al, 2017). Recognising these influences is paramount for integrating effective health interventions into a person's routine. This nuanced understanding of the interconnections between personality and health behaviours serves as a cornerstone for individuals developing personalised approaches to their own health promotion and underscores the importance of considering overall differences in approaches to public health initiatives with regard to that integration and the relevant factors noted above.

Importance of understanding individual differences in health promotion

Understanding individual differences in health promotion is essential for designing interventions that are not only effective but also tailored to the unique needs and characteristics of the individual. As noted by the US Institute of Medicine, health professionals would do well to "turn to models of behavior change to guide the development of strategies that foster self-protective action, reduce behaviors that increase health risk, and facilitate effective adaptation to and coping with illness." (Institute of Medicine, 2001). Human beings are inherently varied in terms of personality traits, cognitive styles, cultural backgrounds, and socioeconomic status, and these make a difference in approaches to well-being.

Individual differences and perceptions significantly impact health behaviours, influencing responses to health-related information, decision-making, and engagement with preventive measures. A one-size-fits-all approach to health promotion generally does not resonate equally with individuals of different age groups, genders, or cultural backgrounds (Braveman et al, 2011). Health literacy levels and motivational factors also vary widely, necessitating a nuanced understanding of the diverse factors influencing health behaviours.

Health promotion efforts as a result, even when targeted and engaging, are not always successful (Silva et al, 2014). Tailored interventions enhance the likelihood of behaviour change but health disparities among various demographic groups remain. Understanding individual differences is not just a matter of inclusivity in healthcare but is also a strategic imperative for achieving meaningful and lasting impact in personal well-being and overall health care.

Personality theories and their impact on health behaviours

Personality theories play a crucial role in understanding how individuals approach and engage with health behaviours. Three significant models —The Big Five Model, The Health Belief Model (HBM), and The Social Cognitive Theory (SCT)— help shed light on the complex relationship between personality and health behaviours. These are by no means a comprehensive understanding of personality, and indeed we learn more about the neuroscience of behaviour through and about the impact of personality each year. Still, these three models have stood the test of time.

The first of the three highlighted models is the Big Five Model, also known as the Five-Factor Model (FFM). The FFM has been developed since the 1980s by a variety of individuals and groups. Beginning in the 1990s, this relatively modern and comprehensive framework identified five major personality traits— openness, conscientiousness, extroversion, agreeableness, and neuroticism—and each of these traits influences health behaviours in distinctive ways. Openness to Experience reflects an individual's willingness to adopt new behaviours, making it a key factor in an individual’s attention to their health. Those high in openness may be more inclined to explore alternative therapies, try new diets, or adopt innovative health practices. This trait also influences receptiveness to preventive health measures, with individuals open to new experiences likely to embrace proactive approaches to maintain their well-being. Conscientiousness, reflecting traits such as self-discipline and organization, is closely linked to health behaviours. High conscientiousness is associated with better adherence to medical advice, as individuals with this trait are more likely to follow prescribed treatment plans and maintain health regimens. Conscientiousness also plays a crucial role in long-term health behaviours and outcomes, influencing lifestyle choices that contribute to overall well-being. Extroversion, the tendency to seek social interactions and stimulation, plays a pivotal role in health behaviours for those that fall on the furthest end of the extroversion spectrum. Social interactions can influence health decisions, affecting choices related to diet, substance use, and mental well-being. Although the impact of extroversion can positively extend to exercise and physical activity, with extroverted individuals often displaying a greater proclivity for engaging in social or group-based physical activities, there is a greater tendency for extroverts to be susceptible to group think (Tuovinen, 2020). This tendency also extends to agreeable individuals. Agreeableness, characterized by cooperation, empathy, and social support, certainly influences an individual's decisions when it comes to a view of their own well-being. Social support is a significant factor influencing health behaviours, as individuals with higher levels of agreeableness are more likely to engage in collaborative efforts to improve health outcomes. In healthcare settings, agreeable individuals are more likely to cooperate with healthcare providers, leading to better communication and adherence to medical advice. Unfortunately these agreeable tendencies, as noted above, have a negative influence on some individuals' ability to ask more pressing and challenging questions of healthcare providers—questions which may have a basis on areas of concern that may be apparent only to the individual who is affected (Shoychet, Lenton-Brym, Antony, 2023). Neuroticism, characterized by emotional instability, has profound implications for stress management and health behaviours. Individuals high in neuroticism may be more prone to stress-related health issues. Coping mechanisms, such as avoidance or maladaptive behaviours, can exacerbate these challenges, leading to a possible cascade of negative health outcomes.

The Health Belief Model (HBM) focuses on cognitive factors that influence health behaviours. Developed in the 1950s by social psychologists Irwin Rosenstock, Godfrey Hochbaum, Stephen Kegeles, and Howard Leventhal at the US Public Health Service (Glanz et al, 2008), the HBM posits that individuals are more likely to engage in health-promoting behaviours if they perceive themselves as susceptible to a health threat, believe the threat has serious consequences, and see the recommended behaviour as beneficial and capable of reducing the threat. Personal factors, including perceived barriers and self-efficacy, further shape an individual's decision to adopt or neglect health behaviours. For example, an individual with a high perception of susceptibility to a disease may be more motivated to engage in preventive health behaviours. On the other hand, those with this high perception of susceptibility may give undue attention to symptoms.

The Social Cognitive Theory (SCT), developed by Albert Bandura from foundational work by Edwin Holt and Harold Chapman Brown (Holt & Brown, 1932), emphasizes the role of observational learning, self-efficacy, and reciprocal determinism in shaping health behaviours. Observational learning suggests that individuals can acquire health-related behaviours by observing others. For instance, if someone sees a friend successfully quitting smoking, they may be more inclined to attempt the same behaviour change. Self-efficacy, a key concept in SCT, refers to an individual's belief in their ability to perform a specific behaviour. Higher self-efficacy is associated with a greater likelihood of initiating and sustaining health behaviours. Reciprocal determinism posits that individual behaviours, the environment, and personal factors mutually influence each other, which is a prevailing belief in healthcare (Marmot & Wilkinson, 2011), although the proportionate extent of influence may be debatable.

These three personality theories offer complementary insights into the multifaceted nature of health behaviours. The Big Five Model provides a broad framework for understanding how personality traits contribute to varied health outcomes, offering a lens through which medical researchers and practitioners can understand individual differences. The Health Belief Model delves into cognitive processes, emphasizing the importance of perceived threats, benefits, and barriers in shaping health decisions. Finally, the Social Cognitive Theory adds a social dimension, highlighting the impact of observation and self-efficacy on the adoption of health behaviours.

Integrating these theories into health decision strategies and self-advocacy efforts allows for a more holistic and personalized approach. For instance, an intervention designed to increase physical activity such as through sports might consider targeting individuals with high levels of extroversion, who may be more inclined to participate in group-based activities. Simultaneously, acknowledging perceived barriers and enhancing self-efficacy can be crucial for interventions aimed at promoting behaviours such as smoking cessation or regular exercise.

Exploring the interplay of personality traits, individual differences, and other factors in shaping health behaviours

Understanding the intricate relationship between personality traits and individual differences is paramount in unraveling the complexities of health behaviours, although there are various other factors that have a profound impact on personal well-being advocacy and health outcomes.

Demographic factors, including age, gender, and cultural influences, significantly impact health behaviours (Mou et al, 2022). Age-related changes may affect an individual's accessibility to mental healthcare (Mai et al, 2022), and this in turn affects the motivation to seek out either preventive care or treatment of current challenges. Gender differences in health behaviours may reflect societal norms and expectations (Vlassoff, 2007), influencing choices related to diet, exercise, and healthcare utilization. Men are widely known to have few resources and social support available to them, leading to less participation and poorer health outcomes (Novak et al, 2019). Cultural influences like these and others shape health behaviours, impacting dietary preferences, health beliefs, and attitudes toward preventive measures.

Socioeconomic status (SES) is a crucial determinant of access to healthcare and health behaviours. Individuals with higher SES often have better access to resources, education, and healthcare services, leading to more informed health decisions. Conversely, those with lower SES may face barriers that impede their ability to adopt health-promoting behaviours, contributing to health disparities.

Personality differences that affect cognitive abilities play a pivotal role in understanding and adopting health behaviours, even in mental healthcare where it’s noted that “individual differences are prominent in terms of intervention responsiveness and outcomes (Tang, 2020). High neuroticism for example can hinder an individual's ability to comprehend health information, leading to poor decision-making and reduced adherence to preventive measures. Conscientiousness on the other hand tends to increase adherence.

Motivational factors, including intrinsic and extrinsic motivation, influence the initiation and sustenance of health behaviours. Intrinsic motivation, driven by internal rewards such as personal satisfaction, is associated with more sustained behaviour change. Extrinsic motivation, on the other hand, involves external rewards or punishments and may lead to temporary changes. Personality traits have a significant impact on intrinsic and extrinsic motivation, although there are intervention methods that are more effective than others such as more frequent, monthly feedback (Jochems et al, 2012).

Goal-setting is a powerful motivator in health-related decisions. Individuals who set specific, realistic goals are more likely to achieve positive health outcomes (Bailey, 2017). The process of goal-setting enhances self-efficacy, reinforcing an individual's belief in their ability to initiate and maintain positive health behaviours.

Interventions Based on Personality Profiles

Suggesting and coordinating interventions to individuals' personality profiles represents a paradigm shift in health adoption strategies and could lead to better outcomes through better informed decisions. Recognizing that one size does not fit all, interventions informed by personality traits can be more targeted and impactful. For instance, individuals high in conscientiousness may benefit from structured and organized interventions that align with their disciplined approach to life. On the other hand, those with high levels of extroversion might respond more favourably to social and group-based interventions that leverage their inclination toward social interactions, such as the sports activities mentioned previously.

This tailored approach extends beyond traditional health education methods and would include supplemental mental health supports. Incorporating insights from personality psychology enables the development of interventions that resonate with individuals on a personal level (Hampson, 2012), fostering greater engagement and adherence. By aligning interventions with an individual's inherent traits, health practitioners can address specific challenges and leverage strengths, ultimately enhancing the likelihood of sustained behaviour change and/or continued positive adherence.

Personalized approaches and the potential in health promotion

The concept of personalized approaches, driven by an analysis of personality traits, holds significant promise for revolutionizing health promotion. By analyzing an individual, predispositions to certain mental health conditions can be identified, allowing for earlier interventions for those less mindful of their health. For instance, personality traits associated with cardiovascular risk may guide interventions focusing on stress reduction for at-risk individuals.

Analysis encompasses personality traits and should include lifestyle factors, cognitive styles, and motivational profiles. Integrating these diverse sources of information offers a comprehensive understanding of an individual's mental landscape, allowing for positive health adoptions that are not only personalized but also holistic. This approach can be particularly impactful in preventive care, early detection of health risks, and the optimization of lifestyle modifications tailored to an individual's unique needs.

Challenges and ethical considerations in targeting personality for interventions

While the prospect of tailoring interventions to individual differences is promising, it is not without challenges and ethical considerations. One major challenge lies in accurately assessing and categorizing personality traits for those that rely on humans, since artificial intelligence is far better at personality assessment than humans (Wu et al, 2015). While established personality inventories provide valuable insights, oversimplification or misinterpretation of these traits may lead to ineffective interventions or unintended consequences.

Ethical considerations arise in the realm of consent and privacy. Collecting and utilizing personal information, especially in the context of sensitive data related to assessments, requires a robust framework to ensure informed consent and protect individuals' privacy.

Striking a balance between the potential benefits of personalized interventions and the ethical imperative to respect autonomy and confidentiality is paramount.

Additionally, there is the risk of reinforcing stereotypes or stigmatizing individuals based on their personality profiles. Interventions should be designed with sensitivity to diverse cultural contexts and avoid perpetuating biases that may arise from categorization of personality traits. A nuanced understanding of individual differences is essential to avoid unintended consequences that could exacerbate health disparities or negatively impact mental well-being.

Conclusion

The recognition of individual differences in health behaviours has become increasingly crucial in the realm of public health. As we delve into the complexities of human diversity, understanding how individual characteristics influence health-related decisions is essential for designing effective interventions. It is important to keep in mind as well the associated best practices and ethical considerations.

As noted above, neuroticism, extroversion, openness to experience, agreeableness, and conscientiousness each contribute to unique patterns of health-related decisions. Additionally, demographic, cognitive, and motivational factors further shape individual differences in health behaviours. Recognising and understanding these influences is pivotal for tailoring health interventions, promoting informed decision-making, and addressing health disparities. As the field of health promotion evolves, incorporating a nuanced understanding of personality traits and individual differences will be essential for designing effective, personalized approaches to enhance public health outcomes.

Personality theories provide valuable frameworks for understanding how individual differences shape health behaviours. The Big Five Model, Health Belief Model, and Social Cognitive Theory offer unique perspectives, emphasizing the role of personality traits, cognitive processes, and social influences in driving health-related decisions. Integrating these theories into health education efforts enhances the precision and effectiveness of interventions, ultimately contributing to improved overall public health outcomes.

On the medical front, recognizing and embracing individual differences in health behaviours is a cornerstone of effective health promotion. What is critical is understanding not only the behaviours, but what drives them, so that interventions based on personality profiles and embracing the potential of personalised approaches herald a new era in healthcare. However, this approach requires careful navigation of challenges and ethical considerations. Striking the right balance between personalisation, privacy, and cultural sensitivity is essential for realizing the full potential of tailored interventions. As the field of health care continues to evolve, a commitment to understanding and respecting individual differences with a mind to ethical and equitable solutions.

References

Baciu A, Negussie Y, Geller A, et al., editors (2017). Communities in Action: Pathways to Health Equity. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); The Root Causes of Health Inequity. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK425845/

Bailey R. R. (2017). Goal Setting and Action Planning for Health Behavior Change. American journal of lifestyle medicine, 13(6), 615–618. https://doi.org/10.1177/1559827617729634

Braveman, P. A., Kumanyika, S., Fielding, J., LaVeist, T., Borrell, L. N., Manderscheid, R., &amp; Troutman, A. (2011). Health Disparities and Health Equity: The issue is justice. American Journal of Public Health, 101(S1). https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.2010.300062

Childs, E., White, T. L., & de Wit, H. (2014). Personality traits modulate emotional and physiological responses to stress. Behavioural pharmacology, 25(5-6), 493–502. https://doi.org/10.1097/FBP.0000000000000064

Edwin B. Holt, Harold Chapman Brown (1932). Review of Animal Drive and The learning process: An essay toward radical empiricism. Psychological Bulletin, 29(8), 586–600. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0066513

Glanz, Karen; Barbara K. Rimer; K. Viswanath (2008). Health behavior and health education: theory, research, and practice (PDF) (4th ed.). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass. pp. 45–51. ISBN 978-0787996147.

Hampson S. E. (2012). Personality processes: mechanisms by which personality traits "get outside the skin". Annual review of psychology, 63, 315–339. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-120710-100419

Institute of Medicine (2001). Health and Behavior: The Interplay of Biological, Behavioral, and Societal Influences; Individuals and Families: Models and Interventions. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK43749/

Jochems, E. C., Mulder, C. L., van Dam, A., Duivenvoorden, H. J., Scheffer, S. C., van der Spek, W., & van der Feltz-Cornelis, C. M. (2012). Motivation and treatment engagement intervention trial (MotivaTe-IT): the effects of motivation feedback to clinicians on treatment engagement in patients with severe mental illness. BMC psychiatry, 12, 209. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-244X-12-209

Mai, S., Cai, J., & Li, L. (2022). Factors associated with access to healthcare services for older adults with limited activities of daily living. Frontiers in public health, 10, 921980.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.921980

Mou, T. J., Afroz, K. A., Haq, M. A., Jahan, D., Ahmad, R., Islam, T., Chowdhury, K., Kumar, S., Irfan, M., Islam, M. S., Islam, M. F., Adnan, N., & Haque, M. (2022). The Effect of Socio-Demographic Factors in Health-Seeking Behaviors among Bangladeshi Residents during the First Wave of COVID-19. Healthcare (Basel, Switzerland), 10(3), 483. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare10030483

Marmot MG, Wilkinson RD, editors. Social Determinants of Health. Oxford, England: Oxford University Press; 2011.

Novak, J. R., Peak, T., Gast, J., & Arnell, M. (2019). Associations Between Masculine Norms and Health-Care Utilization in Highly Religious, Heterosexual Men. American journal of men's health, 13(3), 1557988319856739. https://doi.org/10.1177/1557988319856739.

Rollo, S., Gaston, A., & Prapavessis, H. (2016). Cognitive and Motivational Factors Associated with Sedentary Behavior: A Systematic Review. AIMS public health, 3(4), 956–984. https://doi.org/10.3934/publichealth.2016.4.956

Shoychet, G., Lenton-Brym, A. P., & Antony, M. M. (2023). The impact of covid-19 anxiety on quality of life in Canadian adults: The moderating role of intolerance of uncertainty and health locus of control. Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science / Revue Canadienne Des Sciences Du Comportement, 55(4), 300–312. https://doi.org/10.1037/cbs0000331

Silva, K. L., Sena, R. R., Belga, S. M., Silva, P. M., & Rodrigues, A. T. (2014). Promoção da saúde: desafios revelados em práticas exitosas [Health promotion: challenges revealed in successful practices]. Revista de saude publica, 48(1), 76–85. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0034-8910.2014048004596

Small, B. J., Dixon, R. A., McArdle, J. J., and Grimm, K. J. (2012). Do changes in lifestyle engagement moderate cognitive decline in normal aging? Evidence from the Victoria longitudinal study. Neuropsychology 26, 144–155. doi: 10.1037/a0026579

Tang, R., & Braver, T. S. (2020). Towards an Individual Differences Perspective in Mindfulness Training Research: Theoretical and Empirical Considerations. Frontiers in psychology, 11, 818. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00818

Tuovinen, S., Tang, X., & Salmela-Aro, K. (2020). Introversion and social engagement: Scale validation, their interaction, and positive association with self-esteem. Frontiers in Psychology, 11. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.590748

Vlassoff C. (2007). Gender differences in determinants and consequences of health and illness. Journal of health, population, and nutrition, 25(1), 47–61

Youyou, W., Kosinski, M., & Stillwell, D. (2015). Computer-based personality judgments are more accurate than those made by humans. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 112(4), 1036–1040. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1418680112